STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO FILING DATE 12/19/2003 4978 10/740,747 102.0001-12000 Gary Karlin Michelson 22882 7590 EXAMINER MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP BROWN, MICHAEL A 1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE HARTVILLE, OH 44632 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3772 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

11/27/2007

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/740,747	MICHELSON, GARY KARLIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Brown	3772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 10-31 is/are pending in the appleada) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number:

10/740,747 Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 10-11, 15-21, 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brantigan'915 in view of Roux '369.

Brantigan discloses in figures 1-10 a spinal implant, substantially as claimed. However, Brangtian doesn't disclose the thread 32c, 32d being a helical thread. Roux teaches in figures 1-6 an implant comprising a thread 27 that is helical (col. 3, lines 44-47), having a deviation (the thread deviates to an apex in fig. 4) the thread is interrupted (fig. 3) and it can be blunt (have any cross-section, col. 5, lines 38-41, which of course could be a blunt end). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the helical thread as taught by Roux could be substituted for the thread disclosed by Brantigan in order to have a thread on the implant that resist backward rotation.

Claims 12-14 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims above, and further in view of Bagby '269.

Application/Control Number:

10/740,747 Art Unit: 3772

Bagby teaches in figures 1-5 a spinal implant 10 having openings 23 and a hollow interior. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the openings and the hollow interior as taught by Bagby could be incorporated into the implant disclosed by Brantigan and taught by Roux. The openings would allow bone to grow into the implant. The openings would interrupt the threads simply via locating the threads between the openings.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/740,747 Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael A. Brown/ November 24, 2007

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/740,747 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination MICHELSON, GARY KARLIN Examiner Michael Brown Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-4,262,369	04-1981	Roux, Christiane	623/23.43
*	В	US-4,501,269	02-1985	Bagby, George W.	606/61
*	С	US-4,878,915	11-1989	Brantigan, John W.	623/17.11
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS				
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)			
	U				
	٧				
	w				
	×				

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20071125

